Application No. Applicant(s) WAELBROECK ET AL. 09/750,768 Interview Summary Examiner **Art Unit** Lalita M. Hamilton 3624 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Lalita M. Hamilton. (3) Henri Waelbroeck. (4)____ (2) Steven Underwood. Date of Interview: 22 March 2006. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] e) No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: claim 1. Identification of prior art discussed: prior art of record. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant will submit a proposed amendment for consideration by the Examiner. . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview

allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims

requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required